1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Francis O. Scarpulla (41059) Craig C. Corbitt (83251) Judith A. Zahid (215418) Patrick B. Clayton (240191) Qianwei Fu (242669) Heather T. Rankie (268002) ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 693-0700 Facsimile: (415) 693-0770 fscarpulla@zelle.com ccorbitt@zelle.com	N LLP	
8 9 10 11	Joseph M. Alioto (42980) Theresa D. Moore (99978) THE ALIOTO FIRM 555 California Street, 31 st Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 434-8900 Facsimile: (415) 434-9200		
12 13 14	Class Counsel for Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs		
15	UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
18 19	IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. C07-1827-SI MDL No. 1827	
20		[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS	
21	This Document Relates to:	SETTLEMENT WITH DEFENDANT CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LTD.	
22			
	All Indirect Purchaser Actions	,	
23	All Indirect Purchaser Actions	Hearing Date: April 30, 2010 Time: 9:00 a.m.	
23 24	All Indirect Purchaser Actions	Hearing Date: April 30, 2010	
	All Indirect Purchaser Actions	Hearing Date: April 30, 2010 Time: 9:00 a.m. Courtroom: 10, 19 th Floor	
24	All Indirect Purchaser Actions	Hearing Date: April 30, 2010 Time: 9:00 a.m. Courtroom: 10, 19 th Floor	
24 25	All Indirect Purchaser Actions	Hearing Date: April 30, 2010 Time: 9:00 a.m. Courtroom: 10, 19 th Floor	

1	The Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs") have moved the Court for Preliminary		
2	Approval of Class Settlement with Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. ("CPT"). The Court,		
3	having review	ved the motion, the Indirect-Purchaser CPT Class Settlement Agreement (hereinafter	
$4 \mid$	"CPT Settlen	nent Agreement"), the pleadings and other papers on file in this action, and the	
5	statements of	counsel and the parties, hereby finds that the motion should be GRANTED.	
6	IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED that,	
7	1.	The CPT Settlement Agreement is preliminarily approved, subject to a hearing on the	
8	final approval of the settlement (hereinafter "Fairness Hearing").		
9	2.	The following class of indirect purchasers (hereinafter "Settlement Class") is	
10	provisionally certified for settlement purposes only:		
11			
12		All individuals and entities who, at any time during the period beginning January 1, 1996 and continuing through December 31,	
13		2006, purchased TFT-LCD products in the United States (including its territories and protectorates) indirectly from the	
14		defendants or their parents, subsidiaries, and affiliates, excluding: defendants, their parents, subsidiaries, and affiliates, any co-	
15		conspirators, all governmental entities, and any judges or justices assigned to hear any aspect of this action.	
16	3.	Also excluded, in accordance with their request, are those persons and entities who	
17	timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement Class pursuant to the terms of the notice to		
18	be sent to the class.		
19	4.	The Court finds the prerequisites to a class action under Federal Rule of Civil	
20	Procedure 23(a) have been satisfied for settlement purposes in that:		
21		a. There are millions of geographically dispersed class members, making joinder	
22	of all members impracticable;		
23		b. The claims of the class representatives are typical of those of the other	
24	members;		
25		c. There are questions of fact and law that are common to all members of the	
26	class; and		
27	//		
28	//		
		<u> </u>	

- d. The class representatives will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the class and have retained counsel experienced in complex antitrust class action litigation who have and will continue to adequately represent the class.
- 5. The Court finds this action may be maintained as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) for settlement purposes because (i) questions of fact and law common to the members of the class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and (ii) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 6. The Court finds that the settlement falls within the range of possible approval. The Court further finds that there is a sufficient basis for notifying the class of the proposed settlement, and for enjoining class members from proceeding in any other action pending the conclusion of the Fairness Hearing.
- 7. The Court appoints Allan Rotman, Frederick Rozo, Steven Martel, Robert Kerson, Byron Ho, Joe Solo, Lisa Blackwell, David Walker, Robert Feins, Scott Eisler, John Okita, Ben Northway, Rex Getz, Kou Srimoungchanh, Patricia Giles, Christopher Murphy, Gladys Baker, Judy Griffith, Ling-Hung Jou, Martha Mulvey, Cynthia Saia, Allen Kelley, Thomas Clark, Marcia Weingarten, Tom DiMatteo, Chris Ferencsik, Donna Jeanne Flanagan, Bob George, Dr. Robert Mastronardi, Christopher Bessette, Chad Hansen, Dena Williams, Scott Beall, Robert Watson, John Matrich, Joe Kovacevich, and Jai Paguirigan as representatives of the Settlement Class.
- 8. The Court appoints Zelle Hofmann Voelbel & Mason LLP and The Alioto Firm as Settlement Class Counsel.
- 9. The Court will conduct a Fairness Hearing on a date to be set. The Fairness Hearing will be conducted to determine the following:
- a. Whether the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and should be granted final approval;
- b. Whether final judgment should be entered dismissing the claims of the class against Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., with prejudice as required by the Settlement Agreement; and
 - c. Such other matters as the Court may deem appropriate.

3 || 3

- 10. To avoid the possible duplication of class notices, notice of this partial class settlement shall be deferred. A status conference is scheduled for June 3, 2010 at 2:00 p.m., at which time the Court will address the content of notice and the notice plan.
- 11. Each class member shall have the right to be excluded from the settlement class by mailing a request for exclusion to the claim administrator no later than 60 days after the notice date. Requests for exclusion must be in writing and set forth the name and address of the person or entity who wishes to be excluded, as well as all trade names or businesses names and addresses used by such person or entity, must state that the person or entity wishes to be excluded, and must be signed by the person or entity seeking exclusion. No later than 70 days after mailing of the notice date, Class Counsel shall file with the Court a list of all persons and entities who have timely requested exclusion from the Settlement Class.
- 12. Any class member who does not properly and timely request exclusion from the Settlement Class shall, upon final approval of the settlement, be bound by the terms and provisions of the settlement so approved, including but not limited to the releases, waivers, and covenants described in the Settlement Agreement, whether or not such person or entity objected to the settlement and whether or not such person or entity makes a claim upon the settlement funds.
- 13. Each class member who does not timely seek exclusion from the class shall have the right to object to the settlement by filing written objections with the Court no later than 60 days after the notice date, copies of which shall be served on all counsel listed in the class notice. Failure to timely file and serve written objections will preclude a class member from objecting to the class settlement.
- 14. Each class member who does not timely seek exclusion from the class shall have the right to appear at the Fairness Hearing by filing a Notice of Intention to Appear no later than 60 days after the notice date, copies of which shall be served on all counsel listed in the class notice.
- 15. All briefs, memoranda, and papers in support of final approval of the settlement shall be filed no later than 14 days before the Fairness Hearing.

Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document1728 Filed05/03/10 Page5 of 5

- 1	
1	16. All further indirect-purchaser class proceedings as to Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd.
2	are hereby stayed except for any actions required to effectuate the settlement or that are otherwise
3	permitted by the CPT Settlement Agreement or agreed by the settling parties.
4	17. The Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over this action to consider all further matters
5	arising out of or connected with the settlement.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	Dated:, 2010
8	Suran Staton
9	Hon. Susan Illston
10	United States District Judge
11	
12 #3220792v1	#3220792v1
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
27 28	
ا ك	